Applied Analytics and Predictive Modeling Spring 2021

Lecture-17

Lydia Manikonda

manikl@rpi.edu



Some of the slides adapted from Intro to Data Mining Tan et al. 2nd edition

Today's agenda

- Announcements
- Handling timeseries data
- Association Rules
- Class Exercises

Announcements

• Deadlines pushed to 1 week back

Manipulating Timeseries

- Python notebook
- Final exam

Association Rules (Focus on Frequent Itemsets)

Association Rule Mining

• Given a set of transactions, find rules that will predict the occurrence of an item based on the occurrences of other items in the transaction.

Market-Basket transactions

TID	Items
1	Bread, Milk
2	Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs
3	Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke
4	Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer
5	Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke

Example of Association Rules

 $\begin{aligned} & \{\text{Diaper}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Beer}\}, \\ & \{\text{Milk, Bread}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Eggs,Coke}\}, \\ & \{\text{Beer, Bread}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Milk}\}, \end{aligned}$

Implication means co-occurrence, not causality!

Definitions

• Itemset

- A collection of one or more items
 - Example: {Milk, Bread, Diaper}
- k-itemset
 - An itemset that contains k items
- Support count (σ)
 - Frequency of occurrence of an itemset
 - E.g. $\sigma(\{Milk, Bread, Diaper\}) = 2$
- Support
 - Fraction of transactions that contain an itemset
 - E.g. s({Milk, Bread, Diaper}) = 2/5
- Frequent Itemset
 - An itemset whose support is greater than or equal to a *minsup* threshold

TID	Items
1	Bread, Milk
2	Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs
3	Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke
4	Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer
5	Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke

Example – Itemset metrics

- Itemset (I1): {Bread, Milk, Diaper}
- Support

#occurrences (support count) = 2
Fraction of occurrences (support) = 2/5

- Lets say *minsup* = 0.1
- Is I1 a frequent itemset?

Yes Support of I1 =0.4 (> minsup)

TID	Items
1	Bread, Milk
2	Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs
3	Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke
4	Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer
5	Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke

Association Rule

- Association Rule
 - An implication expression of the form $X \rightarrow Y$, where X and Y are itemsets
 - Example: ${Milk, Diaper} \rightarrow {Beer}$

• Rule Evaluation Metrics

- Support (s)
 - Fraction of transactions that contain both X and Y
- Confidence (c)
 - Measures how often items in Y appear in transactions that contain X

TID	Items
1	Bread, Milk
2	Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs
3	Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke
4	Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer
5	Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke

Example – Association Rule

- {Milk, Diaper} => {Beer}
- Support

$$s = \frac{\sigma(\text{Milk}, \text{Diaper}, \text{Beer})}{|\mathsf{T}|} = \frac{2}{5} = 0.4$$

• Confidence

$$c = \frac{\sigma(\text{Milk}, \text{Diaper}, \text{Beer})}{\sigma(\text{Milk}, \text{Diaper})} = \frac{2}{3} = 0.67$$

TID	Items
1	Bread, Milk
2	Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs
3	Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke
4	Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer
5	Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke

Association Rule Mining Task

- Given a set of transactions T, the goal of association rule mining is to find all rules having
 - support \geq *minsup* threshold
 - confidence ≥ *minconf* threshold
- Brute-force approach:
 - List all possible association rules
 - Compute the support and confidence for each rule
 - Prune rules that fail the *minsup* and *minconf* thresholds
 - \Rightarrow Computationally prohibitive!

Mining Association Rules

TID	Items
1	Bread, Milk
2	Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs
3	Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke
4	Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer
5	Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke

Observations:

Example of Rules:

 $\{ Milk, Diaper \} \rightarrow \{ Beer \} (s=0.4, c=0.67) \\ \{ Milk, Beer \} \rightarrow \{ Diaper \} (s=0.4, c=1.0) \\ \{ Diaper, Beer \} \rightarrow \{ Milk \} (s=0.4, c=0.67) \\ \{ Beer \} \rightarrow \{ Milk, Diaper \} (s=0.4, c=0.67) \\ \{ Diaper \} \rightarrow \{ Milk, Beer \} (s=0.4, c=0.5) \\ \{ Milk \} \rightarrow \{ Diaper, Beer \} (s=0.4, c=0.5)$

- All the above rules are binary partitions of the same itemset: {Milk, Diaper, Beer}
- Rules originating from the same itemset have identical support but can have different confidence
- Thus, we may decouple the support and confidence requirements

Mining Association Rules

- Two-step approach:
 - 1. Frequent Itemset Generation
 - Generate all itemsets whose support \geq minsup
 - 2. Rule Generation
 - Generate high confidence rules from each frequent itemset, where each rule is a binary partitioning of a frequent itemset
- Frequent itemset generation is still computationally expensive

Frequent Itemset Generation



Given d items, there are 2^d possible candidate itemsets

Frequent Itemset Generation

- Brute-force approach:
 - Each itemset in the lattice is a candidate frequent itemset
 - Count the support of each candidate by scanning the database



- Match each transaction against every candidate
- Complexity ~ O(NMw) => Expensive since M = 2^d !!!

Frequent Itemset Generation Strategies

- Reduce the number of candidates (M)
 - Complete search: M=2^d
 - Use pruning techniques to reduce M
- Reduce the number of transactions (N)
 - Reduce size of N as the size of itemset increases
 - Used by DHP and vertical-based mining algorithms
- Reduce the number of comparisons (NM)
 - Use efficient data structures to store the candidates or transactions
 - No need to match every candidate against every transaction

Reducing Number of Candidates

- Apriori principle:
 - If an itemset is frequent, then all of its subsets must also be frequent
- Apriori principle holds due to the following property of the support measure:

$$\forall X, Y : (X \subseteq Y) \Longrightarrow s(X) \ge s(Y)$$

- Support of an itemset never exceeds the support of its subsets
- This is known as the anti-monotone property of support



TID	Items
1	Bread, Milk
2	Beer, Bread, Diaper, Eggs
3	Beer, Coke, Diaper, Milk
4	Beer, Bread, Diaper, Milk
5	Bread, Coke, Diaper, Milk

Items (1-itemsets)

Item	Count
Bread	4
Coke	2
Milk	4
Beer	3
Diaper	4
Eggs	1

Minimum Support = 3

If every subset is considered, ${}^{6}C_{1} + {}^{6}C_{2} + {}^{6}C_{3}$ 6 + 15 + 20 = 41With support-based pruning, 6 + 6 + 4 = 16

TID	Items
1	Bread, Milk
2	Beer, Bread, Diaper, Eggs
3	Beer, Coke, Diaper, Milk
4	Beer, Bread, Diaper, Milk
5	Bread, Coke, Diaper, Milk

Minimum Support = 3

If every subset is considered, ${}^{6}C_{1} + {}^{6}C_{2} + {}^{6}C_{3}$ 6 + 15 + 20 = 41With support-based pruning, 6 + 6 + 4 = 16 Items (1-itemsets)

ltem	Count
Bread	4
Coke	2
Milk	4
Beer	3
Diaper	4
Eggs	1



Pairs (2-itemsets)

(No need to generate candidates involving Coke or Eggs)

Minimum Support = 3

If every subset is considered, ${}^{6}C_{1} + {}^{6}C_{2} + {}^{6}C_{3}$ 6 + 15 + 20 = 41With support-based pruning, 6 + 6 + 4 = 16



Items (1-itemsets)

Itemset	Count
{Bread,Milk}	3
{Beer, Bread}	2
{Bread,Diaper}	3
{Beer,Milk}	2
{Diaper,Milk}	3
{Beer,Diaper}	3

Pairs (2-itemsets)

(No need to generate candidates involving Coke or Eggs)

Minimum Support = 3

If every subset is considered, ${}^{6}C_{1} + {}^{6}C_{2} + {}^{6}C_{3}$ 6 + 15 + 20 = 41With support-based pruning, 6 + 6 + 4 = 16



ltem	Count	Items (1-itemsets)
Bread	4	
Coke	2	
Milk	4	Itemset
Beer	3	{Bread.Mil
Diaper	4	{Bread.Be
Eggs	1	{Bread.Dia

Itemset	Count
{Bread,Milk}	3
{Bread,Beer}	2
{Bread,Diaper}	3
{Milk,Beer}	2
{Milk,Diaper}	3
{Beer,Diaper}	3

Pairs (2-itemsets)

(No need to generate candidates involving Coke or Eggs)

Minimum Support = 3

Triplets (3-itemsets)

If every subset is considered, ${}^{6}C_{1} + {}^{6}C_{2} + {}^{6}C_{3}$ 6 + 15 + 20 = 41With support-based pruning, 6 + 6 + 4 = 16

Itemset	Count
{ Beer, Diaper, Milk}	2
{ Beer, Bread, Diaper }	2
{Bread, Diaper, Milk}	2
{Beer, Bread, Milk}	1

Apriori Algorithm

- F_k: frequent k-itemsets
- L_k: candidate k-itemsets
- Algorithm
 - Let k=1
 - Generate F₁ = {frequent 1-itemsets}
 - Repeat until F_k is empty
 - **Candidate Generation**: Generate L_{k+1} from F_k
 - Candidate Pruning: Prune candidate itemsets in L_{k+1} containing subsets of length k that are infrequent
 - Support Counting: Count the support of each candidate in L_{k+1} by scanning the DB
 - Candidate Elimination: Eliminate candidates in L_{k+1} that are infrequent, leaving only those that are frequent => F_{k+1}

Candidate Generation: Brute-Force Method

		Candidate Generation		
		ltemset]	
		{Beer, Bread, Cola}	1	
		{Beer, Bread, Diapers}]	
		{Beer, Bread, Milk}]	
Items		{Beer, Bread, Eggs}]	
	1	{Beer, Cola, Diapers}		
Item		{Beer, Cola, Milk}		
Beer		{Beer, Cola, Eggs}]	
Bread	\rightarrow	{Beer, Diapers, Milk}		
Cola		{Beer, Diapers, Eggs}	1	
Diapers		{Beer, Milk, Eggs}	1	{Bread
Milk		{Bread, Cola, Diapers}	1	
Eggs		{Bread, Cola, Milk}	1	
		{Bread, Cola, Eggs}	1	
		{Bread, Diapers, Milk}	1	
		{Bread, Diapers, Eggs}	1	
		{Bread, Milk, Eggs}	1	
		{Cola, Diapers, Milk}	1	
		{Cola, Diapers, Eggs}	1	
		{Cola, Milk, Eggs}	1	
		{Diapers, Milk, Eggs}	1	

Frequent 2-itemset

Itemset
{Beer, Diapers}
{Bread, Diapers}
{Bread, Milk}
{Diapers, Milk}

Candidate Pruning

	ltemset	
{Bread,	Diapers,	Milk}

Figure 6.6. A brute-force method for generating candidate 3-itemsets.

Candidate Generation: Merge F_{k-1} and F_{k-1} itemsets



Figure 6.7. Generating and pruning candidate k-itemsets by merging a frequent (k - 1)-itemset with a frequent item. Note that some of the candidates are unnecessary because their subsets are infrequent.

Candidate Generation: Merge F_{k-1} and F_{k-1} itemsets



Figure 6.8. Generating and pruning candidate k-itemsets by merging pairs of frequent (k-1)-itemsets.

Candidate Generation: Merge F_{k-1} and F_{k-1} itemsets

- Merge two frequent (k-1)-itemsets if their first (k-2) items are identical
- F₃ = {ABC,ABD,ABE,ACD,BCD,BDE,CDE}
 - Merge(<u>AB</u>C, <u>AB</u>D) = <u>AB</u>CD
 - Merge(<u>AB</u>C, <u>AB</u>E) = <u>AB</u>CE
 - Merge(<u>AB</u>D, <u>AB</u>E) = <u>AB</u>DE
 - Do not merge(<u>ABD</u>,<u>ACD</u>) because they share only prefix of length 1 instead of length 2

Candidate Pruning

- Let F₃ = {ABC,ABD,ABE,ACD,BCD,BDE,CDE} be the set of frequent 3itemsets
- L₄ = {ABCD,ABCE,ABDE} is the set of candidate 4-itemsets generated (from previous slide)
- Candidate pruning
 - Prune ABCE because ACE and BCE are infrequent
 - Prune ABDE because ADE is infrequent
- After candidate pruning: L₄ = {ABCD}

Alternate $F_{k-1} \times F_{k-1}$ Method

- Merge two frequent (k-1)-itemsets if the last (k-2) items of the first one is identical to the first (k-2) items of the second.
- F₃ = {ABC,ABD,ABE,ACD,BCD,BDE,CDE}
 - Merge(A<u>BC</u>, <u>BC</u>D) = A<u>BC</u>D
 - Merge(A<u>BD</u>, <u>BD</u>E) = A<u>BD</u>E
 - Merge(A<u>CD</u>, <u>CD</u>E) = A<u>CD</u>E
 - Merge(B<u>CD</u>, <u>CD</u>E) = B<u>CD</u>E

Candidate Pruning for Alternate $F_{k-1} \times F_{k-1}$ Method

- Let F₃ = {ABC,ABD,ABE,ACD,BCD,BDE,CDE} be the set of frequent 3itemsets
- L₄ = {ABCD,ABDE,ACDE,BCDE} is the set of candidate 4-itemsets generated (from previous slide)
- Candidate pruning
 - Prune ABDE because ADE is infrequent
 - Prune ACDE because ACE and ADE are infrequent
 - Prune BCDE because BCE
- After candidate pruning: L₄ = {ABCD}



Use of $F_{k-1}xF_{k-1}$ method for candidate generation results in only one 3-itemset. This is eliminated after the support counting step.

Exercise-1

Transaction 1	Apple, beer, rice, chicken
Transaction 2	Apple, beer, rice
Transaction 3	Apple, beer
Transaction 4	Milk, beer, rice, chicken
Transaction 5	Milk, beer, rice
Transaction 6	Milk, beer

Find all the frequent itemsets where, *min_sup* = 0.2

Exercise-2

• Using Apriori algorithm, identify frequent itemsets where *min_sup* =2

Transaction 1	a, b, e
Transaction 2	b, d
Transaction 3	b, c
Transaction 4	a, b, d
Transaction 5	a, c
Transaction 6	b, c
Transaction 7	a, c
Transaction 8	a, b, c, e
Transaction 9	a, b, c