## Applied Analytics and Predictive Modeling Spring 2021 <br> Lecture-17

## Lydia Manikonda manikl@rpi.edu

## Today's agenda

- Announcements
- Handling timeseries data
- Association Rules
- Class Exercises

Announcements

- Deadlines pushed to 1 week back


## Manipulating Timeseries

- Python notebook
- Final exam

Association Rules (Focus on frequent temsest)

## Association Rule Mining

- Given a set of transactions, find rules that will predict the occurrence of an item based on the occurrences of other items in the transaction.


## Market-Basket transactions

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Bread, Milk |
| 2 | Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs |
| 3 | Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke |
| 4 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer |
| 5 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke |

## Example of Association Rules

```
{Diaper} -> {Beer},
{Milk, Bread} }->\mathrm{ {Eggs,Coke},
{Beer, Bread} }->\mathrm{ {Milk},
Implication means co-occurrence, not causality!
```


## Definitions

- Itemset
- A collection of one or more items
- Example: \{Milk, Bread, Diaper\}
- k-itemset
- An itemset that contains k items
- Support count ( $\sigma$ )
- Frequency of occurrence of an itemset
- E.g. $\sigma(\{$ Milk, Bread, Diaper\}) $=2$

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Bread, Milk |
| 2 | Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs |
| 3 | Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke |
| 4 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer |
| 5 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke |

- Support
- Fraction of transactions that contain an itemset
- E.g. s(\{Milk, Bread, Diaper\}) $=2 / 5$
- Frequent Itemset
- An itemset whose support is greater than or equal to a minsup threshold


## Example - Itemset metrics

- Itemset (I1): \{Bread, Milk, Diaper\}
- Support
\#occurrences (support count) = 2
Fraction of occurrences (support) $=2 / 5$

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Bread, Milk |
| 2 | Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs |
| 3 | Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke |
| 4 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer |
| 5 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke |

- Lets say minsup $=0.1$
- Is I1 a frequent itemset?

```
Yes
Support of I1 =0.4 (> minsup)
```


## Association Rule

- Association Rule
- An implication expression of the form $X \rightarrow Y$, where $X$ and $Y$ are itemsets
- Example:
$\{$ Milk, Diaper $\} \rightarrow$ Beer $\}$

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Bread, Milk |
| 2 | Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs |
| 3 | Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke |
| 4 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer |
| 5 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke |

- Rule Evaluation Metrics
- Support (s)
- Fraction of transactions that contain both $X$ and $Y$
- Confidence (c)
- Measures how often items in Y appear in transactions that contain $X$


## Example - Association Rule

- \{Milk, Diaper\} => \{Beer\}
- Support

$$
s=\frac{\sigma(\text { Milk, Diaper,Beer })}{|\mathrm{T}|}=\frac{2}{5}=0.4
$$

- Confidence

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Bread, Milk |
| 2 | Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs |
| 3 | Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke |
| 4 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer |
| 5 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke |

$$
c=\frac{\sigma(\text { Milk, Diaper,Beer })}{\sigma(\text { Milk, Diaper })}=\frac{2}{3}=0.67
$$

## Association Rule Mining Task

- Given a set of transactions T , the goal of association rule mining is to find all rules having
- support $\geq$ minsup threshold
- confidence $\geq$ minconf threshold
- Brute-force approach:
- List all possible association rules
- Compute the support and confidence for each rule
- Prune rules that fail the minsup and minconf thresholds
$\Rightarrow$ Computationally prohibitive!


## Mining Association Rules

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Bread, Milk |
| 2 | Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs |
| 3 | Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke |
| 4 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer |
| 5 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke |

## Example of Rules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{\text { Milk,Diaper }\} \rightarrow\{\text { Beer }\}(s=0.4, c=0.67) \\
& \{\text { Milk,Beer }\} \rightarrow\{\text { Diaper }\}(s=0.4, c=1.0) \\
& \{\text { Diaper, Beer }\} \rightarrow\{\text { Milk }\}(s=0.4, c=0.67) \\
& \{\text { Beer }\} \rightarrow\{\text { Milk,Diaper }\}(s=0.4, c=0.67) \\
& \{\text { Diaper }\} \rightarrow\{\text { Milk,Beer }\}(s=0.4, c=0.5) \\
& \{\text { Milk }\} \text { \{Diaper,Beer }\}(s=0.4, c=0.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Observations:

- All the above rules are binary partitions of the same itemset:
\{Milk, Diaper, Beer\}
- Rules originating from the same itemset have identical support but can have different confidence
- Thus, we may decouple the support and confidence requirements


## Mining Association Rules

- Two-step approach:

1. Frequent Itemset Generation

- Generate all itemsets whose support $\geq$ minsup

2. Rule Generation

- Generate high confidence rules from each frequent itemset, where each rule is a binary partitioning of a frequent itemset
- Frequent itemset generation is still computationally expensive


## Frequent Itemset Generation



Given d items, there are $2^{\text {d }}$ possible candidate itemsets

## Frequent Itemset Generation

- Brute-force approach:
- Each itemset in the lattice is a candidate frequent itemset
- Count the support of each candidate by scanning the database

Transactions List of


- Match each transaction against every candidate
- Complexity ~ O(NMw) => Expensive since $M=2^{d}$ !!!


## Frequent Itemset Generation Strategies

- Reduce the number of candidates ( M )
- Complete search: $\mathrm{M}=2^{\text {d }}$
- Use pruning techniques to reduce M
- Reduce the number of transactions ( N )
- Reduce size of N as the size of itemset increases
- Used by DHP and vertical-based mining algorithms
- Reduce the number of comparisons (NM)
- Use efficient data structures to store the candidates or transactions
- No need to match every candidate against every transaction


## Reducing Number of Candidates

- Apriori principle:
- If an itemset is frequent, then all of its subsets must also be frequent
- Apriori principle holds due to the following property of the support measure:

$$
\forall X, Y:(X \subseteq Y) \Rightarrow s(X) \geq s(Y)
$$

- Support of an itemset never exceeds the support of its subsets
- This is known as the anti-monotone property of support


## Illustrating Apriori Principle

Found to be Infrequent


## Illustrating Apriori Principle

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Bread, Milk |
| 2 | Beer, Bread, Diaper, Eggs |
| 3 | Beer, Coke, Diaper, Milk |
| 4 | Beer, Bread, Diaper, Milk |
| 5 | Bread, Coke, Diaper, Milk |

Items (1-itemsets)

| Item | Count |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bread | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Coke | 2 |
| Milk | 4 |
| Beer | 3 |
| Diaper | 4 |
| Eggs | 1 |

Minimum Support $=3$
If every subset is considered,
${ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{1}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{2}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{3}$
$6+15+20=41$
With support-based pruning,
$6+6+4=16$

## Illustrating Apriori Principle

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Bread, Milk |
| 2 | Beer, B read, Diaper, Eggs |
| 3 | Beer, Coke, Diaper, Milk |
| 4 | Beer, Bread, Diaper, Milk |
| 5 | Bread, Coke, Diaper, Milk |

Items (1-itemsets)

| Item | Count |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bread | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Coke | 2 |
| Milk | 4 |
| Beer | 3 |
| Diaper | 4 |
| Eggs | 1 |

$$
\text { Minimum Support }=3
$$

If every subset is considered,
${ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{1}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{2}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{3}$
$6+15+20=41$
With support-based pruning,
$6+6+4=16$

## Illustrating Apriori Principle

| Item | Count |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bread | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Coke | 2 |
| Milk | 4 |
| Beer | 3 |
| Diaper | 4 |
| Eggs | 1 |

Items (1-itemsets)

| Itemset |
| :--- |
| \{Bread, Milk \} |
| \{Bread, Beer \} |
| \{Bread,Diaper $\}$ |
| \{Beer, Milk $\}$ |
| \{Diaper, Milk $\}$ |
| \{Beer,Diaper $\}$ |

Pairs (2-itemsets)
(No need to generate candidates involving Coke or Eggs)

Minimum Support $=3$
If every subset is considered,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{1}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{2}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{3} \\
& 6+15+20=41
\end{aligned}
$$

With support-based pruning,
$6+6+4=16$

## Illustrating Apriori Principle

| Item | Count | Items (1-itemsets) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bread | 4 |  |  |  |
| Coke | 2 |  |  |  |
| Milk | 4 | Itemset | Count | Pairs (2-itemsets) |
| Beer | 3 | \{Bread, Milk \} | 3 |  |
| Diaper | 4 | \{Beer, Bread\} | 2 | (No need to generate |
| Eggs | 1 | \{Bread,Diaper\} | 3 | candidates involving Coke |
|  |  | \{Beer,Milk \} | 2 |  |
|  |  | \{Diaper,Milk\} \{Beer,Diaper\} | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |

Minimum Support = 3
If every subset is considered,
${ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{1}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{2}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{3}$
$6+15+20=41$
With support-based pruning,
$6+6+4=16$

## Illustrating Apriori Principle



Triplets (3-itemsets)
If every subset is considered,

$$
{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{1}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{2}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{3}
$$

Itemset

$$
6+15+20=41
$$

\{ Beer, Diaper, Milk \}
\{ Beer, Bread,Diaper \}
With support-based pruning,
\{Bread, Diaper, Milk\}
$6+6+4=16$
\{Beer, Bread, Milk\}

## Illustrating Apriori Principle

| Item | Count | Items (1-itemsets) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bread | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Coke | 2 |  |  |  | Pairs (2-itemsets)(No need to generate |
| Milk Beer Diaper | 4 |  | Itemset | Count |  |
|  | 3 |  | \{Bread, Milk \} | 3 |  |
|  | 4 |  | \{Bread,Beer\} | 2 |  |
|  | 1 |  | \{Bread,Diaper\} | 3 | candidates involving Coke or Eggs) |
|  |  |  | \{Milk,Beer\} | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | \{Milk,Diaper\} | $3$ |  |
| Minimum Support $=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Triplets (3-itemsets)
If every subset is considered,
${ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{1}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{2}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{3}$
$6+15+20=41$
With support-based pruning,
$6+6+4=16$

| Itemset | Count |
| :--- | :---: |
| \{ Beer, Diaper, Milk \} | 2 |
| \{ Beer, Bread, Diaper\} | 2 |
| \{Bread, Diaper, Milk\} | 2 |
| \{Beer, Bread, Milk\} | 1 |

## Apriori Algorithm

- $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}$ : frequent k -itemsets
- $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}$ : candidate k-itemsets
- Algorithm
- Let $\mathrm{k}=1$
- Generate $F_{1}=\{$ frequent 1-itemsets $\}$
- Repeat until $F_{k}$ is empty
- Candidate Generation: Generate $L_{k+1}$ from $F_{k}$
- Candidate Pruning: Prune candidate itemsets in $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ containing subsets of length $k$ that are infrequent
- Support Counting: Count the support of each candidate in $L_{k+1}$ by scanning the $D B$
- Candidate Elimination: Eliminate candidates in $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ that are infrequent, leaving only those that are frequent $=>F_{k+1}$


## Candidate Generation: Brute-Force Method



Figure 6.6. A brute-force method for generating candidate 3 -itemsets.

## Candidate Generation: Merge $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ itemsets



Figure 6.7. Generating and pruning candidate $k$-itemsets by merging a frequent $(k-1)$-itemset with a frequent item. Note that some of the candidates are unnecessary because their subsets are infrequent.

## Candidate Generation: Merge $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ itemsets



Figure 6.8. Generating and pruning candidate $k$-temsets by merging pairs of frequent $(k-1)$-itemsets.

## Candidate Generation: Merge $F_{k-1}$ and $F_{k-1}$ itemsets

- Merge two frequent ( $k-1$ )-itemsets if their first ( $k-2$ ) items are identical
- $F_{3}=\{A B C, A B D, A B E, A C D, B C D, B D E, C D E\}$
- $\operatorname{Merge}(\underline{A B C}, \underline{A B D})=\underline{A B C D}$
- $\operatorname{Merge}(\underline{A B C}, \underline{A B E})=\underline{A B C E}$
- $\operatorname{Merge}(\underline{(A B D}, \underline{A B E})=\underline{A B D E}$
 length 2


## Candidate Pruning

- Let $F_{3}=\{A B C, A B D, A B E, A C D, B C D, B D E, C D E\}$ be the set of frequent 3itemsets
- $L_{4}=\{A B C D, A B C E, A B D E\}$ is the set of candidate 4-itemsets generated (from previous slide)
- Candidate pruning
- Prune $A B C E$ because $A C E$ and BCE are infrequent
- Prune ABDE because ADE is infrequent
- After candidate pruning: $\mathrm{L}_{4}=\{\mathrm{ABCD}\}$


## Alternate $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}-1} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ Method

- Merge two frequent ( $k-1$ )-itemsets if the last ( $k-2$ ) items of the first one is identical to the first ( $k-2$ ) items of the second.
- $F_{3}=\{A B C, A B D, A B E, A C D, B C D, B D E, C D E\}$
- Merge $(\mathbf{A B C}, \underline{B C D})=A \underline{B C D}$
- Merge(ABD, BDE) = ABDE
- Merge(ACD, CDE) $=A \underline{C D E}$
- Merge(BCD, $\underline{\text { CDE }})=$ BCDE


## Candidate Pruning for Alternate $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}-1} \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ Method

- Let $F_{3}=\{A B C, A B D, A B E, A C D, B C D, B D E, C D E\}$ be the set of frequent 3itemsets
- $L_{4}=\{A B C D, A B D E, A C D E, B C D E\}$ is the set of candidate 4 -itemsets generated (from previous slide)
- Candidate pruning
- Prune ABDE because ADE is infrequent
- Prune ACDE because ACE and ADE are infrequent
- Prune BCDE because BCE
- After candidate pruning: $L_{4}=\{A B C D\}$


## Illustrating Apriori Principle

| Item | Count |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bread | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Coke | 2 |
| Milk | 4 |
| Beer | 3 |
| Diaper | 4 |
| Eggs | 1 |

Items (1-itemsets)



If every subset is considered, ${ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{1}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{2}+{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ $6+15+20=41$
With support-based pruning, $6+6+1=13$

| Itemset | Count |
| :--- | :---: |
| \{Bread, Diaper, Milk \} | 2 |

Use of $F_{k-1} \times F_{k-1}$ method for candidate generation results in only one 3 -itemset. This is eliminated after the support counting step.

## Exercise-1

| Transaction 1 | Apple, beer, rice, chicken |
| :--- | :--- |
| Transaction 2 | Apple, beer, rice |
| Transaction 3 | Apple, beer |
| Transaction 4 | Milk, beer, rice, chicken |
| Transaction 5 | Milk, beer, rice |
| Transaction 6 | Milk, beer |

Find all the frequent itemsets where, min_sup $=0.2$

## Exercise-2

- Using Apriori algorithm, identify frequent itemsets where min_sup $=2$

| Transaction 1 | $\mathbf{a , b} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{e}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Transaction 2 | $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}$ |
| Transaction 3 | $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ |
| Transaction 4 | $\mathbf{a , b} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{d}$ |
| Transaction 5 | $\mathbf{a , \mathbf { c }}$ |
| Transaction 6 | $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ |
| Transaction 7 | $\mathbf{a , c} \mathbf{c}$ |
| Transaction 8 | $\mathbf{a , b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e}$ |
| Transaction 9 | $\mathbf{a , b}, \mathbf{c}$ |

