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## Today's agenda

- Decision trees
- Class exercises on building a decision tree manually

Decision Trees

## Example of a Decision Tree

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Home Owner | Marital Status | Annual Income | Defaulted Borrower |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 95K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 75K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90K | Yes |

Training Data

Splitting Attributes


Model: Decision Tree

## Another Example of Decision Tree

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Home Owner | Marital Status | Annual Income | Defaulted Borrower |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 95K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 75K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90K | Yes |



There could be more than one tree that fits the same data!

## Apply Model to Test Data

Start from the root of tree.


## Test Data

| Home | Marital | Annual | Defaulted <br> Owner |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Status |  |  |  | Income | Borrower |
| :--- |$|$| No | Married | 80 K |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Apply Model to Test Data



## Apply Model to Test Data
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## Apply Model to Test Data



## Decision Tree Classification Task

| Tid |  |  |  | Attrib1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Large | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Medium | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Small | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Medium | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Large | 95 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Medium | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Large | 220 K | No |
| 8 | No | Small | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Medium | 75 K | No |
| 10 | No | Small | 90 K | Yes |


| Tid |  |  | Attrib1 | Attrib2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Attrib3 | Class |  |  |  |
| 11 | No | Small | 55 K | $?$ |
| 12 | Yes | Medium | 80 K | $?$ |
| 13 | Yes | Large | 110 K | $?$ |
| 14 | No | Small | 95 K | $?$ |
| 15 | No | Large | 67 K | $?$ |



Test Set

## Decision Tree Induction

- Many Algorithms:
- Hunt's Algorithm (one of the earliest)
- CART
- ID3, C4.5
- SLIQ,SPRINT


## General Structure of the Hunt's algorithm

- Let $D_{t}$ be the set of training records that reach a node t
- General Procedure:
- If $D_{t}$ contains records that belong the same class $y_{t}$, then $t$ is a leaf node labeled as y
- If $D_{t}$ contains records that belong to more than one class, use an attribute test to split the data into smaller subsets. Recursively apply the procedure to each subset.

| ID | Home Owner | Marital Status | Annual Income | Defaulted Borrower |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 95K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 75K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90K | Yes |



## Hunt's algorithm

## Defaulted $=$ No

(a)

| ID | Home <br> Owner | Marital <br> Status | Annual <br> Income | Defaulted <br> Borrower |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 95 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 75 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | Yes |

## Hunt's algorithm

Defaulted = No
(a)

(b)

| ID | Home <br> Owner | Marital <br> Status | Annual <br> Income | Defaulted <br> Borrower |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 95 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 75 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | Yes |

## Hunt's algorithm


(b)
(c)

| ID | Home Owner | Marital Status | Annual Income | Defaulted Borrower |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 95K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 75K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90K | Yes |

## Hunt's algorithm



## Design Issues of Decision Tree Induction

- How should training records be split?
- Method for specifying test condition
- depending on attribute types
- Measure for evaluating the goodness of a test condition
- How should the splitting procedure stop?
- Stop splitting if all the records belong to the same class or have identical attribute values
- Early termination


## Methods for Expressing Test Conditions

- Depends on attribute types
- Binary
- Nominal
- Ordinal
- Continuous
- Depends on number of ways to split
-2-way split
- Multi-way split


## Test Condition for Nominal Attributes

- Multi-way split:
- Use as many partitions as distinct values.

- Binary split:
- Divides values into two subsets



## Test Condition for Ordinal Attributes

- Multi-way split:
- Use as many partitions as distinct values.
- Binary split:
- Divides values into two subsets
- Preserve order property among attribute values



## Test Condition for Continuous Attributes


(i) Binary split

(ii) Multi-way split

## Splitting Based on Continuous Attributes

- Different ways of handling
- Discretization to form an ordinal categorical attribute Ranges can be found by equal interval bucketing, equal frequency bucketing (percentiles), or clustering.
- Static - discretize once at the beginning
- Dynamic - repeat at each node
- Binary Decision: $(\mathrm{A}<\mathrm{v})$ or ( $\mathrm{A} \geq \mathrm{v}$ )
- consider all possible splits and finds the best cut
- can be more compute intensive


## How to determine the best split

Before Splitting: 10 records of class 0, 10 records of class 1

| Customer Id | Gender | Car Type | Shirt Size | Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | M | Family | Small | C 0 |
| 2 | M | Sports | Medium | C 0 |
| 3 | M | Sports | Medium | C 0 |
| 4 | M | Sports | Large | C 0 |
| 5 | M | Sports | Extra Large | C 0 |
| 6 | M | Sports | Extra Large | C 0 |
| 7 | F | Sports | Small | C 0 |
| 8 | F | Sports | Small | C 0 |
| 9 | F | Sports | Medium | C 0 |
| 10 | F | Luxury | Large | C 0 |
| 11 | M | Family | Large | C 1 |
| 12 | M | Family | Extra Large | C 1 |
| 13 | M | Family | Medium | C 1 |
| 14 | M | Luxury | Extra Large | C 1 |
| 15 | F | Luxury | Small | C 1 |
| 16 | F | Luxury | Small | C 1 |
| 17 | F | Luxury | Medium | C 1 |
| 18 | F | Luxury | Medium | C 1 |
| 19 | F | Luxury | Medium | C 1 |
| 20 | F | Luxury | Large | C 1 |



Which test condition is the best?

## How to determine the best split

- Greedy approach:
- Nodes with purer class distribution are preferred
- Need a measure of node impurity:

> C0: 5
> C1: 5

High degree of impurity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C0: } 9 \\
& \text { C1: } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Low degree of impurity

## Measures of Node Impurity

- Gini Index

$$
\operatorname{GINI}(t)=1-\sum_{j}[p(j \mid t)]^{2}
$$

- Entropy

$$
\text { Entropy }(t)=-\sum_{j} p(j \mid t) \log p(j \mid t)
$$

- Misclassification error

$$
\operatorname{Error}(t)=1-\max _{i} P(i \mid t)
$$

## Finding the best split

1. Compute impurity measure $(P)$ before splitting
2. Compute impurity measure (M) after splitting
3. Compute impurity measure of each child node
4. M is the weighted impurity of children
5. Choose the attribute test condition that produces the highest gain

$$
\text { Gain }=P-M
$$

or equivalently, lowest impurity measure after splitting (M)

## Measure of Impurity: Entropy

- Entropy at a given node $t$ :

$$
\operatorname{Entropy}(t)=-\sum_{j} p(j \mid t) \log p(j \mid t)
$$

- (NOTE: $p(j \mid t)$ is the relative frequency of class j at node t ).
- Maximum $\left(\log n_{c}\right)$ when records are equally distributed among all classes implying least information
- Minimum (0.0) when all records belong to one class, implying most information
- Entropy based computations are quite similar to the GINI index computations


## Computing Entropy of a Single Node

$$
\text { Entropy }(t)=-\sum_{\lambda} p(j \mid t) \log _{2} p(j \mid t)
$$

| C 1 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| C 2 | $\mathbf{6}$ |

$P(C 1)=0 / 6=0 \quad P(C 2)=6 / 6=1$
Entropy $=-0 \log 0-1 \log 1=-0-0=0$

| C 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| C 2 | $\mathbf{5}$ |

$P(C 1)=1 / 6 \quad P(C 2)=5 / 6$
Entropy $=-(1 / 6) \log _{2}(1 / 6)-(5 / 6) \log _{2}(5 / 6)=0.65$

| C 1 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| C 2 | $\mathbf{4}$ |

$P(C 1)=2 / 6 \quad P(C 2)=4 / 6$
Entropy $=-(2 / 6) \log _{2}(2 / 6)-(4 / 6) \log _{2}(4 / 6)=0.92$

## Computing Information Gain after Splitting

- Information Gain

$$
G A I N_{\text {split }}=\operatorname{Entropy}(p)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i}}{n} \operatorname{Entropy}(i)\right)
$$

Parent Node, p is split into k partitions; $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is number of records in partition i

- Choose the split that achieves most reduction (maximizes GAIN)
- Used in ID3 and C4.5 decision tree algorithms


## Class exercise

| age | income | student | credit_rating | buys_computer |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $<=30$ | high | no | fair | no |
| $<=30$ | high | no | excellent | no |
| $31 \ldots 40$ | high | no | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | medium | no | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | low | yes | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | low | yes | excellent | no |
| $31 \ldots 40$ | low | yes | excellent | yes |
| $<=30$ | medium | no | fair | no |
| $<=30$ | low | yes | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | medium | yes | fair | yes |
| $<=30$ | medium | yes | excellent | yes |
| $31 \ldots 40$ | medium | no | excellent | yes |
| $31 \ldots 40$ | high | yes | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | medium | no | excellent | no |

## Attribute Selection by Information Gain Computation

```
■ Class P: buys_computer = "yes"
■Class N: buys_computer = "no"
\squareI(p,n)=I(9, 5)=0.940
\squareCompute the entropy for age:
```

| age | $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{l}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<=30$ | 2 | 3 | 0.971 |
| $30 \ldots 40$ | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| $>40$ | 3 | 2 | 0.971 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(\text { age }) & =\frac{5}{14} I(2,3)+\frac{4}{14} I(4,0) \\
& +\frac{5}{14} I(3,2)=0.694
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{5}{14} I(2,3) \text { means "age }<=30 \text { " has } 5 \text { out of }
$$

$$
14 \text { samples, with } 2 \text { yes'es and } 3
$$

no's. Hence
$\operatorname{Gain}($ age $)=I(p, n)-E($ age $)=0.246$
Similarly,
Gain $($ income $)=0.029$
$\operatorname{Gain}($ student $)=0.151$
Gain $($ credit_rating $)=0.048$

## Output: A Decision Tree for "buys_computer"



## Algorithm for Decision Tree Induction

- Basic algorithm (a greedy algorithm)
- Tree is constructed in a top-down recursive divide-and-conquer manner
- At start, all the training examples are at the root
- Attributes are categorical (if continuous-valued, they are discretized in advance)
- Examples are partitioned recursively based on selected attributes
- Test attributes are selected on the basis of a heuristic or statistical measure (e.g., information gain)
- Conditions for stopping partitioning
- All samples for a given node belong to the same class
- There are no remaining attributes for further partitioning - majority voting is employed for classifying the leaf
- There are no samples left


## Other Attribute Selection Measures

- Gini index (CART, IBM IntelligentMiner)
- All attributes are assumed continuous-valued
- Assume there exist several possible split values for each attribute
- May need other tools, such as clustering, to get the possible split values
- Can be modified for categorical attributes


## GINI Index (IBM IntelligentMiner)

- If a data set $T$ contains examples from $n$ classes, gini index, $\operatorname{gini}(T)$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{gini}(T)=1-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}^{2}
$$

where $p_{j}$ is the relative frequency of class $j$ in $T$.

- If a data set $T$ is split into two subsets $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ with sizes $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ respectively, the gini index of the split data contains examples from $n$ classes, the gini index $\operatorname{gini}(T)$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{gini}_{\text {split }}(T)=\frac{N_{1}}{N} \operatorname{gini}\left(T_{1}\right)+\frac{N_{2}}{N} \operatorname{gini}\left(T_{2}\right)
$$

- The attribute provides the smallest $\operatorname{gini} i_{\text {split }}(T)$ is chosen to split the node (need to enumerate all possible splitting points for each attribute).

